

The Hon. Robert S. Lasnik

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,
v.
JOSE L. CASABLANCA,
Defendant

No. CR20-135-RSL

ORDER OF FORFEITURE

THIS MATTER comes before the Court on the United States' Motion for Entry of an Order of Forfeiture ("Motion") seeking to forfeit to the United States Defendant Jose L. Casablanca's interest in the following property:

A sum of money in the amount of \$7,025, representing proceeds the Defendant personally obtained from the conspiracy.

The Court, having reviewed the United States' Motion, as well as the other papers and pleadings filed in this matter, hereby FINDS entry of an Order of Forfeiture is appropriate because:

- The proceeds of Conspiracy to Distribute Controlled Substances, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(B) and 846, are forfeitable pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 853;

- 1 • In his plea agreement, the Defendant agreed to forfeit the above-identified sum
2 pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 853, as it reflects proceeds he personally obtained from
3 the conspiracy to which he entered a plea of guilty, Dkt. No. 61, ¶ 11; and,
4 • This sum of money is personal to the Defendant; pursuant to Federal Rule of
5 Criminal Procedure (“Fed. R. Crim. P.”) 32.2(c)(1), no third-party ancillary
6 process is required before forfeiting it.
- 7

8 NOW, THEREFORE, THE COURT ORDERS:

9 1) Pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 853, the Defendant’s interest in the above-
10 identified sum of money is fully and finally forfeited, in its entirety, to the United States;

11 2) Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(b)(4)(A) – (B), this Order will become
12 final as to the Defendant at the time he is sentenced; it will be made part of the sentence;
13 and, it will be included in the judgment;

14 3) No right, title, or interest in the identified sum of money exists in any party
15 other than the United States;

16 4) Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(e), in order to satisfy this sum of money,
17 in whole or in part, the United States may move to amend this Order, at any time, to
18 include substitute property having a value not to exceed \$7,025; and,

19 5) The Court will retain jurisdiction in this case for the purpose of enforcing
20 this Order, as necessary.

22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23 DATED this 9th day of July, 2021.
24

25 
26

27 THE HON. ROBERT S. LASNIK
28 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

1 | Presented by:

2 |

3 | s/Jehiel I. Baer

4 | JEHIEL I. BAER

5 | Assistant United States Attorney

6 | United States Attorney's Office

7 | 700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220

8 | Seattle, WA 98101

9 | (206) 553-2242

10 | Jehiel.Baer@usdoj.gov

11 |

12 |

13 |

14 |

15 |

16 |

17 |

18 |

19 |

20 |

21 |

22 |

23 |

24 |

25 |

26 |

27 |

28 |